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MR. POTEET:
Kim.
MS. BARON:
John Poteet?
MR. POTEET:
Here.
MS. BARON:
George Brewer?
MR. BREWER:
(No response).
MS. BARON:
Dino Taylor?
MR. TAYLOR:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Tony Cormier?
MR. CORMIER:
(No response.)
MS. BARON:
Ron Duplessis?
MR. DUPLESSIS:
Here.
MS. BARON:
George Floyd?

MR. FLOYD:
Here.
MS . BARON:
George Floyd?
MR. FLOYD:
Here.
MS . BARON:
Kirby Roy?
MR. ROY:
(No response.)
MS . BARON:
Darty Smith?
MR. SMITH:
Here.
MS . BARON:
Steve Olave?
MR. OLAVE:
Here.
MS . BARON?
Mr. Chairman, we have a quorum.
MR. POTEET:
Thank you. Any one here today
for public comments?
MS . BARON:
There is not.

MR. POTEET:
All right. The first thing we need to do is -- hopefully, all of you have had a chance to look over the minutes of the last meeting. We need a motion to approve those.

MR. SMITH:
I make a motion.
MR. POTEET:
Darty.
MR. OLAVE:
I second.
MR. POTEET:
Steve second.
All of in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
The motion carries.
Next item for discussion, we've got a lot of things on tap for the financials today. Right, Mona?

MS . ANDERSON:

Good morning. If you'll turn in your packets to your financial statement for the month of -- ending May 31, 2015, the total current assets on the statement of net position were $\$ 2,735,576$. Of that, the cash in the bank was $\$ 1,792,306$. Hearings and fines were $\$ 465,750$. And at the bottom of the page, the current liabilities are $\$ 53,260$.

On Page 2, the deferred inflows for the revenue were $\$ 296,825$. Moving on to the statement of revenues and expenses and changes in net position on Page 3, in the middle of page, the year-to-date revenue was $\$ 1,563,679$ compared to $\$ 1,130,384$ last year. That's an increase of approximately $\$ 433,000$. Under expenses, the salaries and related benefits increased about $\$ 9,000$ over the same time last -- same month last year and that was primarily an increase in the cost of benefits. The remainder of the expenses increased less than $\$ 1,000$ compared to the same month last year.

On Page 5, the year to date
change in net position was $\$ 590,878$ compared
to $\$ 218,739$ last year. On Page 6, you can see the month-to-month comparisons to last year's revenues and expenses. And on Page 7 is a chart of those figures. On Page 8 is a pie chart of the revenues, which are primarily auction transaction fees and fines at this time.

On Page 9, there is your CD
summary. There are no changes in the certificates of deposit for May. On Page 10 is the accounts receivable fines. We increased the fines. We assessed \$7,950 in fines for May and we collected $\$ 45,500$ in the month. Some of those figures you see there are cumulative over the last couple of months. The remaining current hearing and fines total is $\$ 85,650$, plus on Page 11 , the amount that's in collection is $\$ 380,100$ for a total of $\$ 465,750$.

And unless there are any questions, that concludes my report, Mr. Chairman.

MR. POTEET:
Does anybody have any questions
for Mona?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
All right. So I need a motion to approve the financial statements.

MR. ROY:
I move.
MR. POTEET:
Kirby.
MR. SMITH:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
Second Party.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No responses.)
MR. POTEET:
All right. That passes.
So the next thing, we've got the fiscal year end proposed budget amendments. You've got that for us.

MS. ANDERSON:
So included in your packet are the proposed amendments. As always, at the

[^0]end of the fiscal year, we amend the budget to bring it in line with what we actually have anticipated for the rest of the year. The budget was prepared in September of 2014, and so it was only an estimate. So we're going to amend it.

Column 1 is the budget --
unamended budget. Column 2 is the estimate for the end of the year, and then what would be remaining in the budget, in bringing over the proposed budget amendments. And the final column is the proposed end of year budget. Pretty much, everything is just moving line item items.

The revenue, the main two areas, if you'll see in that second -- in that green column, there are some asterisks beside changes in revenue, mainly in the auction transaction fees and the fines line items. At the bottom of the page, the changes in expenses would be to bring the benefits in line with what actually came to pass. We incurred a little bit higher contribution to the retirement benefits this year than we had anticipated at the time the
budget was prepared. Also, the health insurance, we had a little bit higher -- a little higher fee on that, mainly because we had a lot of changes, as you know, in the benefit -- the health insurance benefits this year. Employees chose different options. There were some rate increases and all of those caused us to be a little under -- to be a little over budget. And one of those would be at the bottom of the page, the HSA accounts. There's no way to anticipate how many employees would choose to participate in that. So we amend it to the actual.

On the second page, we have included -- at the time we did the budget, we did not include amounts for the overhead liability, the other post-employment benefits liability or the compensated absences, which we will have to accrue at the end of this fiscal year. All of that to say that it's just a change in the line items. If you'll look at the bottom, the total change and expenses was nil.

And that concludes my
presentation of the proposed budget amendments. Mr. Chairman, I think we need a vote to amend the budget.

MR. POTEET:
Does anyone have any questions for Mona on the proposed budget amendments?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
No questions. Motion to approve? MR. TAYLOR:

I make a motion.
MR. POTEET:
Dino, motion to approve.
MR. OLAVE:
I'll second.
MR. POTEET:
Second Steve.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
All right. That passes.
Thank you, Mona.

MS . ANDERSON:
Thank you.
MR. POTEET:
And let's see. We have payment of invoice. Derek.

MR. PARNELL:
Yes. Commissioners, if you'll please find in your packet a copy of Attorney Morris' bill for services of May 2015. I have reviewed the services performed and staff has reviewed the calculations to make sure that they are correct. Attorney Morris' bill for services for May of 2015 is $\$ 3,352.40$.

Commissioners, I ask that you approve payment for Attorney Morris' services.

MR. POTEET:
I need a motion.
MR. SMITH:
(Makes motion.)
MR. POTEET:
I have a motion from Darty.
MR. SMITH:
Second.
MR. POTEET:

A second from Darty.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
All right. The next thing, Derek, I think you've got the ratification of imposed penalties.

MR. PARNELL:
Yes. Commissioners, once again, you'll find a chart in your packet that illustrates the ratification of imposed civil penalties. I have determined that the public interest can be served better without further administration hearing and imposing these administrative fines these individuals have and they have paid. I will announce the names of the dealers and if we have any -- do we have anyone present for any of these individuals for the revocation?

MS. BARON:
No.
MR. PARNELL:

Betty D. Glissman, CCR

I'll go through and just say the name and the fine amount. The first one is Redemption Auto -- Redemption -- I'm sorry, Redemption Auto Sales out of Gonzales, Louisiana. The fine amount was $\$ 2,000$. The second one on the list is Joe's Used Car Lot out of Shreveport, Louisiana, and the fine amount was $\$ 250$. The third on the list is Auto Match of Baton Rouge, Louisiana. The fine was $\$ 150$. The fourth one on the list is Auto Match again for the second offense. The fine was double, $\$ 300$. Fifth on the list is J \& J Motors of Zachary, Louisiana. The fine was $\$ 150$. The sixth one on the list is LA Auto Sales of Lafayette, Louisiana. Their fine was $\$ 150$. Xnfinity Enterprises, LLC out of Baton Rouge, Louisiana, their fine was $\$ 150$.

The total amount for the imposed penalties for the month was $\$ 3,150$. I would ask that you ratify the amounts on the stipulated orders. They have all have been submitted and fines have been paid. MR. POTEET:

Okay. We need a motion.

MR. OLAVE:
I make a motion we accept the ratification.

MR. SMITH:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
I have a motion by Steve and a second by Darty.

All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
Okay. Those are all ratified
now. And then we've got the ratification of the revocation.

MR. PARNELL:
Yes. Once again, if you'll go to the next page in your document, you will find a chart that illustrates the ratification of revocations. We need to see if there's anyone here. MS . BARON:

No one is here.

MR. PARNELL:
Once again, I'll go through the list and give you the name of the dealer and let you know what date they were revoked on. The first one is Roderick Classic Cars, LLC from Baton Rouge, Louisiana. The notice of revocation was sent out May 28 th of 2015. Braxton's Auto sales, LLC of New Orleans, Louisiana, ratification notice was sent out on May 28th of 2015. Old School Auto Salon, LLC from New Orleans, Louisiana, the notice of ratification was sent on May 21st of 2013. Rens Auto Sales, Inc., out of New Orleans, Louisiana, notice of revocation was June 2nd of 2015. And Direct Auto Remarketing, LLC out of New Orleans, Louisiana, notice of revocation was May 21, 2015. Commissioners, I ask that you ratify the revocations of the above mentioned dealers.

MR. POTEET:
Motion to ratify?

MR. SMITH:
I make a motion.
MR. OLAVE:

Second.
MR. POTEET:
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
Those are revocations are now ratified.

Okay. Time for the Executive Director's report.

MR. PARNELL:
All right. The first thing in the report, you will find some charts that illustrate the compliance investigation and complaint totals for the month of May. The first document you will find is the alleged issue counts. The first -- there were 156 alleged issues for the month of May 2015. The second document is the case report. There were 87 assigned cases for May of 2015. 26 of those cases were closed, which means there are 61 cases that remain open of those assigned cases. The department
summary report illustrates that there were 58 cases that were closed in the month of May.

In general information, at the end of last -- during the last Commission meeting, we had a discussion with the stipulated agency order, Select Car Company out of Shreveport, Louisiana, and at the end of the Commission meeting, it was said that you could -- you left it up to me that if he could get everything done, I could give him no more than about a 24 hour time frame if he would get everything pretty much taken care of during that time frame. It was up to me whether I gave him that 24 hours or not. He called. He talked to me right afterward. Montie called him immediately and let him know that. He called me right away and I informed him that $I$ would go ahead and give him that additional 24 hours, by close of business on that next day, and if he didn't everything taken care of, we were finished. He pleaded a little bit on the phone, you know, to get more and more time, but I told him that was all that I was
going to give him and that was all that you've given me the power to do.

With that said, during that --
the next day, he did -- he went ahead and paid the fees that he owed to ZBest Cars, so he could get that title. He sent over a copy of the bill of sale. So it was really -- one thing that was remaining was the title that he had to pay for. It was $\$ 3,200$ that he needed to pay ZBest in order for them to get the title released. He went ahead and made that payment. He took care of everything and sent over some documentation showing that they got the car registered and everything. So I went ahead and let him continue operating as such, but I did inform him that moving forward, he's going to have to make sure that he's meeting with Montie regularly and -- so he can do those audits and get all the information we need to move forward with him.

MR. POTEET:
So he's back on track?
MR. PARNELL:
He's back on track, yes.

MR. TAYLOR:
What about the floor plan company that they had one title?

MR. PARNELL:
That was the one.
MR. TAYLOR:
That was tied up with ZBest?
MR. PARNELL:
Yes. That was the one that he was saying that they were saying he owed more money than he said he owed. He went ahead and just paid the money that they were saying that he owed, which was $\$ 3,200$.

MS. MORRIS:
At the last Commission meeting, they said he just needed to pay that. MR. TAYLOR:

We discussed it even the month before last.

MR. PARNELL:
It was like $\$ 700$ or something
like that.
MR. TAYLOR:
Of course, my ear is bent, did Blakey get his license -- did he get his
title that was tied in there, does he have it?

MR. PARNELL:
I don't know, specifically. I think he did, though, if I'm not mistaken, because when $I$ spoke with the investigator, I said make sure he has everything, because this was the last title that was needed. MR. TAYLOR:

Montie was aware?
MR. PARNELL:
Yes. Montie was aware of it, yes. So with that said, I believe, yes, he is, but $I$ didn't verify that. MR. POTEET:

And Montie is monitoring him. MR. PARNELL:

Yes. MR. TAYLOR:

Good. MR. POTEET:

Okay. Anything else?
What about the cars?
MR. PARNELL:
The cars. Okay. He -- the cars,
we did get approved for us to buy two vehicles. We went ahead and put the order in with Gerry Lane. It's going to be two Chevrolet 2016 Impalas. Initially, like I said, they were trying to get us to buy Nissan Sentras, which is incredibly small. I think that's not -- that's not going to work, you know. So they went ahead and approved us for the purchase of the vehicles. It wasn't as bad as I thought. You know, I thought they were going to give me much more problem, you know, getting those vehicles, because they said it doesn't really matter -- you know, if they noticed that one person is riding in the vehicle most of the time, they're only going to approve a midsize vehicle, but, you know, like I said, I gave an explanation why we needed the larger vehicles and they just went ahead and approved it without any problem, you know. So that supposedly -they're supposed to complete production of them June 30th. So we'll probably get them in the next month or two. MR. POTEET:

Okay. Any other questions?
MR. PARNELL:
That completes my report.
MR. POTEET:
Okay. Thank you, Derek.
Committee reports, the
legislative session is now over, right?
MR. PARNELL:
Yes, it is.
MR. POTEET:
And you survived.
Ron, do you want to talk about these two bills? Does anyone want to talk about them?

MR. DUPLESSIS:
Yes. Our Bill was 533 with Representative Price and we had one little glitch. It was a good thing. It's healthy for our process and I think Keith Kiraly talked to us at the Capitol about our Bill and was unhappy and was not comfortable with the language that we had and we thought we scored big, but it -- you know, it was simple. We have not had a lot of complaints in that arena and we're happy to have the
bill go forward and I think we had a good piece of legislation. A lot of it was cleanup. A lot of it was just kind of syntax and nomenclature and that sort of thing and it sailed through.

But the great thing is I think we worked with the industry rather well and it was noted by both the House and the Senate and I think we gained a great deal of credibility over the last four or five years, especially with our ability to work with our industry and $I$ think you guys did a fabulous job. When you go to a legislative session, you have to have a good plan and expectation, and have an expectation going in and an expectation coming out. And I think that we were really quite accurate and in where we were. So in that regard, it was an excellent session. But the great thing was, it involved the industry and you guys stood up and you played offense in the session and you played defense, just like a game. So at the end of the day, I think both Bills worked out for us really well.

833 with Cameron Henry, it ended
up in conference committee and that's kind of a bargaining table after the session is over for Bills that aren't completed.

I'm probably going to ask Sheri and Robert to -- Sheri to expound more about that in the conference committee and it seemed like they had a little more friction getting their Bill through. They also had a number of Bills attached to it, amendments, fees. My suspicion is it won't pass, because the State does need fees. The fees, while they seemed to be large at 68 bucks, I think, was previously $\$ 18 . \quad$ So it wasn't a new fee. It was an expansion of a fee in order to have the State Police and some other Motor Vehicle entities to continue to work at the level they are. I didn't look at the fiscal note, but it seemed to be reasonably in line. The consumer pays for that. So at the end of the day, there's no harm, no foul to the industry. The consumers have to pay an additional tax in the form of a fee, but that's kind of my assessment and I think we have a much broader view.

MR. POTEET:
What was that fee for?
MR. DUPLESSIS:
The fee -- there was a public safety fee.

MS. MORRIS:
It's on the title.
MR. PARNELL:
It's on the title.
MR. SMITH:
It went from $\$ 18$ to $\$ 68$.
MR. POTEET:
$\$ 18.50$ to $\$ 68$.
MR. DUPLESSIS:
Yes, and I think it was to -- it benefitted the State Police and the Department of Transportation or Motor Vehicle. You guys have a better read on it than I do.

MS. MORRIS:
It was part of the budget crise that, you know, is expected to raise 70 million dollars in revenue to plug a hole that would have been the Department of Public Safety's budget, and so it's a $\$ 50$
increase that will be passed on to the consumer on every title and it's kind of -MR. POTEET:

So any time you need a title -MS. MORRIS:

Issued, the fee is added and there are some others.

MR. PARNELL:
Yes, there have been some other things, but $I$ was reading this morning in the conference committee the report of the digest that came out. One of the reports that they had adopted in the Senate amendment was the technical amendment to the Bill, but what they did was, the report -they injected the Senate amendment to increase the fees relative to certificate of titles and salvage titles from $\$ 18.50$ to $\$ 68.50$. And so from what I gather from this, I mean, it's pretty much that's where it is now, that during the conference committee, they rejected that fee increase. MR. DUPLESSIS:

Sheri can explain the conference committee. There's actually a set of deals
done behind closed doors, is the best way I can say it.

MR. PARNELL:
I reached out to a friend of mine that works at the Capitol to kind of explain to me what a conference committee was, you know, once it doesn't get concurrence through the House side, of course, they don't agree with whatever amendment that was put on the Bill in the Senate side, at that point, it gets assigned to the conference committee and the conference committee, you're going to get three senators that -representative and you get three House members that are representatives. And so I asked her in the past from what I understood that, you know, once it gets in there, it's -- pretty much anything can happen to that Bill, and then what she was saying was that in the past it was like that, but she said the way they're operating much more now is that it has to be germane to that Bill. So you're not going to get as many just random things attached to Bills as you have in the past. But, you know, once they came out
with these amendments and this report, I don't know what the next step is beyond that. I guess that's pretty much the end of it, correct, because the session is over? MS. MORRIS:

Once the conference committee reports it, it has to go to the House and the Senate, which I thought it did, and maybe the $\$ 68$ fee. This Bill was originally the New Motor Vehicle Commission's Bill -MR. PARNELL:

Right.
MS. MORRIS:
-- and then that fee got attached to it. Both the House and Senate adopted the conference committee report. So that is what the Bill says. The conference committee -- it's true that, you know, the Bill can come out saying a totally different thing, but originally this was kind of a cleanup bill for the Motor Vehicle Commission, but when the Department of Public Safety's fee increase failed, it got attached to this Bill, which then made this particular bill a controversial Bill, but I
believe it was -- those fees were stripped from this Bill, but they were still passed in another Bill. I believe that the $\$ 50$ increase did pass. So we'll have to find out.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
But not in this Bill.
MS. MORRIS:
Not in this Bill, but $I$ believe it did pass.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
I was surprised that -- I would have never thought that it would have been stripped because of the fee generation. I thought it have may have been reduced, but the need for fee and what it serves, I thought probably it would, but you can never tell what's going to happen behind closed doors. What they did cleanup is, the last day of the session, a lot of guys would tack amendments on where their Bill got tabled, and then, of course, they would force them in the conference committee, then they would try to work out the Bill, which is really kind of a slight of hand, also as a
substitute Bill or something of that nature. So then they look at germanity, making sure that they have the proper sessions of law, that it's going down the same path and that's what they did. But I didn't keep up with it once it got into conference committee, but my guess is the fee will pass.

MS. MORRIS:
Well, it's over with. Whatever passed, it passed on the 11th, but I think it got tacked onto House Bill 455. There were two Bills that it was tacked on to. I think it did ultimately get tacked onto 873, but I think the fee increase did pass and it probably on this 455. So that is always a danger. Like the Motor Vehicle Commission didn't have anything do with the fee increase and it could have been tacked onto our Bill, because it was a Title 32 Bill. And so we are lucky we did not have that, because that prolonged the process for their cleanup Bill. It's not what they expected. In our Bill, in order to use the word certified, you have to have that approved by
the Commissioner certification program. So we do need to notify our licensees, because the Bill becomes effective August lst, that they do need to submit any certification process that they are advertising to the Commission for approval and we need to be prepared to move forward at the July meeting and August meeting to get those approved, so we don't keep people from using what they have been using that was supported by documentation that's appropriate.

MR. HALLACK:
Do we need to develop some kind of rules and regs to at least provide a time line or a process by which this can be done? MS. MORRIS:

We can certainly do that, but $I$ think that people that have programs that are in place, we probably need to give them some sort of preliminary approval until the rules are done, so they won't have to change their advertisement and change them back. We don't want to keep them from using them if they were legitimate documented certification process, but if you're
advertising as certified and you don't have any real certification process those are the ones that are a problem.

But, you know, even if you want to just approve them, preliminary approve them for this year -- the end of this year, and then work towards some rules with the association and the dealers to have some minimum standards for the rules, turnaround time for the -- for -- you know, if you submit it on this date, it will be approved at the next Commission date, but no earlier than some sort of deadline, so people will know how long the process is a new issue. MR. POTEET:

Do we need to vote on every one of those? Derek can approve those and they can appeal to us.

MS . MORRIS:
Well, we could do it kind of like we're doing the ratifications now, but I think until you all see some of them, you're not really going to know how to judge what you want the minimum standard to be. MR. DUPLESSIS:

Well, we have car people here and I think -- what I would recommend is like your association would get involved and you would have a declaration of certification. That way, we can kind of, you know, rubber stamp the effort. You know, my thought is look at Kelley, look at NADA, look at NAIDA, look at, you know, whatever is out there and come up with something reasonable, but it certainly has to be, you know, all safety factors. There has to be a minimum, probably a 90 day warranty is my thought, on certification, that sort of thing, and those are just my recommendations. So y'all police your industry and be a part of, I think, good business practice.

MR. TAMBLING:
Be more than glad to do that.
MR. DUPLESSIS:
And then Derek -- if they fall in that criteria, Derek can easily approve them. If there's something out of it, they can appeal and we can look at it as a Commission, but I don't think $I$ want to be here all day looking at getting legal
opinions on certification programs.
MR. POTEET:
Yes. I think that when we talked about this a couple meetings back, we talked about, you know, the ones you mentioned, NAIDA, and then some others I think Dino had mentioned, but, you know, I think that that can be a pretty easy thing to write something up and say, these are the things that we would approve, and then if you have something that you want to submit to us that may be different, then we can go through that.

MR. TAYLOR:
Maybe we can send a field officer out to make sure that they can show what they're doing.

MR. POTEET:
I mean, that's really about -you know, you tell them what the guideline is, and then you have to go check them just like we check other things.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
It would have to be posted on the window. That is normally what
certifications cling onto, no pun intended, but, you know, when you do a GM or Ford or whatever, they put the certification on the window with the check boxes making sure that those -- and then the consumer can see that the brakes are within acceptable standard levels, tires and so forth, and that would be the way to police it without driving them nuts.

MR. POTEET:
Okay. So you'll get with Derek and work on some of that.

MR. TAMBLING:
Yes, that's easy.
MR. DUPLESSIS:
Mr. Chairman, that concludes my report.

MR. POTEET:
Okay. I think that pretty much covers our basic meeting. We've got some hearings today.

MR. HALLACK:
Yes, sir. We've got two that are willing to stipulate. They're willing to pay a fine, if you don't mind taking those
right now. We have Covington Auto Sales -Covington Auto Sales has three violations of non-delivery of title, misuse of a temp tag, and failure to maintain records. So it has three violations. He's willing to pay to $\$ 200$ each in 30 days. He was given a violation letter and he didn't respond. He's been out of business for a while, but he didn't show up today and he was going to do that.

MR. TAYLOR:
He's still out of business?
MS . BARON:
Yes.
MR. HALLACK:
Yes, okay. He says he's out of business.

MS. BARON:
Yes, sir.

MR. POTEET:
So we're just collecting fines.
MR. HALLACK:
Yes. He said he fell out of trust with his floor planner and owes him 50 grand and had to borrow money from his
father-in-law, and so he's destitute, but he can come up 600 bucks with 30 days.

MS. BARON:
What were the charges,
non-delivery --
MR. HALLACK:
Non-delivery of title, misuse of temp tag, and failure to maintain records. MR. TAYLOR:

Where is the title now, did the customer get it?

MR. HALLACK:
The Commission has it. He tried to deliver it to the customer. The customer refused to come pick it up. So he just -he brought to the Commission and said, here's the title. The temp tag violation, he wrote a temp tag on a boat. And then the failure to maintain records, is kind of simple.

MR. POTEET:
Anybody have any discussion on this, why we shouldn't do this?
(No response.)

MR. POTEET:

Okay. We need a motion.
MR. HALLACK:
No, no, no, he needs to come in and --

MR. POTEET:
He needs to --
MR. HALLACK:
Because I just wanted to come in here and y'all tell him no, and then we have to have a hearing on it.

MR. POTEET:
Okay. So we don't vote on it?
MR. HALLACK:
No, but you need --
MR. POTEET:
You want a general feeling --
MR. HALLACK:
Yes. If anybody is going to object to that or --

MR. POTEET:
Anybody have any concerns on this?
(No response.)
MR. HALLACK:
The other one is a fraudulent act
and --
MR. POTEET:
Which one?
MR. HALLACK:
I'm sorry. The first one was Covington Auto Sales. The second one is LA Trading Post, Joey DuPont. Mr. DuPont is here. He had one count of fraudulent act. He had a bill of sale where he sold the vehicle for two grand and he put on the bill of sale that it was $\$ 4,000$. He admits that he did wrong. He wants to pay $\$ 500$. I think he's already attended the seminar. He says that he has.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
Is there a tax violation in that as well?

MR. HALLACK:
I have no idea.
MS . BARON:
Is there a what?
MR. DUPLESSIS:
A tax remission violation in that as well.

MR. HALLACK:

I'm sure he paid. I think it was a cash sale. He was not obligated to pay taxes on it. The customer was.

MR. GUILLORY:
The consumer was -- she did pay the adjusted amount to the Office of Motor Vehicle.

MR. DUPLESSIS:
The proper amount?
MR. GUILLORY:
Yes, because when she got questioned about it, she got nervous and that's how we came to find out about it. MR. POTEET:

Any discussion on this one?
MR. TAYLOR:
This guy's still in business.
How long has he been in business?
MR. HALLACK:
And violation letters were sent
to both of these and asked them to stipulate
to fines and whatever and they didn't.
MR. POTEET:
But they're willing to do it
today?

MR. HALLACK:
They're willing to do it today. They're here and they want to --

MS. BARON:
LA Trading Post has been in business since 2013.

What was your other question?
MR. TAYLOR:
They're still in business, they're active?

MS. BARON:
LA Trading Post is, yes.
MR. POTEET:
Have they ever had any other problems or other issues?

MR. GUILLORY:
Not for LA Trading Post.
MR. HALLACK:
Not to my knowledge.
MS. BARON:
He had one complaint in 2014 and that was it.

MR. POTEET:
Anybody have anything to discuss?
It sounds all right to me.

What did you say it was, \$500?
MR. HALLACK:
$\$ 500$, yes, sir.
MR. POTEET:
You discussed these with Derek, I assume?

MR. HALLACK:
Well, I haven't had a chance to discuss it.

MR. POTEET:
You're doing it now.
MR. HALLACK:
I asked him if I could go out and do it, yes, but I didn't have the amounts ready, because y'all were talking.

MR. POTEET:
Does anybody have any objection?
MR. PARNELL:
I was wondering what was the cost difference.

MR. HALLACK:
The other one is cease and desist and he claims that he -MS. BARON:
$\$ 500$ was for Covington Auto

Parts.
MR. OLAVE:
What is the opinion from the
Executive Director, Derek? I mean, that's
-- both of these cases --
MR. PARNELL:
Well, LA Trading Post, yes,
that's what $I$ fined him was $\$ 500$ and he didn't pay that fine, and so that's why we put him on the agenda today. I'm just trying to see what Covington --

MR. POTEET:
You had recommended $\$ 600$.
MS. BARON:
One for Covington was $\$ 950$ and the other one was $\$ 700$, but there were some of the counts Robert said we couldn't use and didn't agree with them and we had to dismiss them.

MR. POTEET:

$$
\$ 600 . \quad \text { Okay. }
$$

MR. HALLACK:
Okay. We have one other one that's a cease and desist. Somebody was operating a business without a license. He
received the dealership in an act of donation, but he never went ahead and got his license with the Commission, because the person who claims -- that gave him the dealership told him that he didn't need one. So for now he's met all the requirements. He's got his bond and everything. I don't know what we --

MS. BARON:
We just got it. It hasn't been approved, yet. It's with the administrative coordinator for her to look over and make sure everything is there. I looked at it this morning and we have all of the forms that we've asked for.

MS. MORRIS:
Do you want to defer it to the next meeting?

MR. POTEET:
That needs to be deferred. There's nothing we can conclude today, right? We can defer that. Okay.

MR. HALLACK:
Well, she's going to defer it to the next meeting.

MS. BARON:
Which means they stay out of business until then?

MS. MORRIS:
Until he gets a license.
MR. POTEET:
Until he gets a license.
MS . BARON:
That would be my question, because --

MR. POTEET:
So when he gets his license then the cease and desist --

MR. PARNELL:
We can stop the cease and desist.
MR. HALLACK:
That's what we'll tell him.
MS. MORRIS:
The cease and desist only lasts so many days.

MS . BARON:
Today was the last day.
MR. HALLACK:
We're going to proceed with the hearings. Mr. Gallardo, would you come up
to the podium, please, sir?
MS. MORRIS:
This is?
MR. HALLACK:
This is Covington Auto Sales. MR. POTEET:

Autoplex.
MR. HALLACK:
Autoplex.
Mr. Gallardo, could you give us your full name just for the record? MR. GALLARDO:

Gerardo Gallardo.
MR. HALLACK:
Mr. Gallardo, you used to own a dealership by the name of Covington

Autoplex; is that correct?
MR. GALLARDO:
Yes, sir.
MR. HALLACK:
And you're no longer in business;
is that right?
MR. GALLARDO:
It's been almost a year.
MR. HALLACK:

You've been out of business for almost a year?

MR. GALLARDO:
Yes.
MR. HALLACK:
And you were cited for three violations, failure to maintain records, non-delivery of title, and misuse of temp tags. And you've agreed to plead guilty to those three offenses and pay a fine of $\$ 200$ each for a total of $\$ 600$ i is that correct?

MR. GALLARDO:
Yes.

MS. MORRIS:
Do you want him to be placed under oath?

MR. HALLACK:
I don't know why. He's
stipulating. That's fine. Okay.
(Swearing in of Gerardo Gallardo) MR. HALLACK:

All right. Mr. Gallardo, can you give us your full name, again? MR. GALLARDO:

Gerardo Gallardo.

MR. HALLACK:
And you used to own a business called Covington Autoplex; is that correct? MR. GALLARDO:

Yes, sir.
MR. HALLACK:
And you were furnished with a violation letter in which you were cited for three violations, failure to maintain records, misuse of a temp tag, and non-delivery of title; is that correct?

MR. GALLARDO:
Yes, sir.
MR. HALLACK:
And you're willing today to stipulate to those offenses, $\$ 200$ each for a total of $\$ 600$ is that correct?

MR. GALLARDO:
Yes, sir.

MR. HALLACK:
And you can pay that in 30 days;
is that correct?
MR. GALLARDO:
Yes, sir.

MR. HALLACK:

Does anybody have any questions for Mr. Gallardo?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
No.
MR. HALLACK:
Do we have a motion to accept Mr.
Gallardo's stipulation?
MR. SMITH:
I make a motion.
MR. TAYLOR:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
Second from Dino.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. HALLACK:
Okay. Mr. Gallardo, you stipulated that you're going to pay $\$ 600$ in 30 days; is that correct? MR. GALLARDO:
Yes, sir.

MR. HALLACK:
They've accepted that as your plea agreement. All right. And you're free to leave.

MR. GALLARDO:
Thank you.
MR. HALLACK:
Mr. DuPont -- Mr. DuPont is here on behalf of LA Trading Post.
(Swearing in of Joey DuPont)
MR. HALLACK:
Mr. DuPont, could you give us
your full name?
MR. DuPONT:
Clet Joseph DuPont, III.
MR. HALLACK:
And, Mr. DuPont, you own a dealership named LA Trading Post?

MR. DuPONT:
Yes.
MR. HALLACK:
And where is that located?
MR. DuPONT:
8390 Rushing Road, Denham Springs, Louisiana.

MR. HALLACK:
Okay. Are you still in business today?

THE WITNESS:
I am.
MR. HALLACK:
Okay. You were cited for a violation of fraudulent act in a used motor vehicle transaction. And you're willing to stipulate to that violation; is that correct?

MR. DuPONT:
I am.
MR. HALLACK:
And you're willing to pay a fine of $\$ 500$ within 30 days; is that correct?

MR. DuPONT:
I am.
MR. HALLACK:
Anybody have any questions for
Mr. DuPont?
MR. POTEET:
Anybody have any questions?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:

No questions. So I need a motion.

MR. SMITH:
I will make a motion.
MR. OLAVE:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
Darty motion, second by Steve.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
Okay.
MR. HALLACK:
Mr. DuPont, you've got 30 days to pay $\$ 500$. Okay.

MR. DuPONT:
Thank you.
MR. HALLACK:
Next, we have cease and desist order on Houston Motors. Houston Motors was issued a cease and desist, because Mr. Linares, who is here with us right now,
received a dealership through an act of donation, but Mr. Linares did not apply for a license, because the seller, Juan Morales, had told him that he didn't need to get a license in his name, that he's just buying the dealership. So Mr. Linares is in the process of complying with the requirements of the license.

Mr. Linares, could you please be sworn in by the court reporter?
(Swearing in of Carlos Linares)
MR. HALLACK:
Mr. Linares, could you give us your name?

MR. LINARES:
Carlos S. Linares.
MR. HALLACK:
Okay. And you now own a dealership named Houston Motors; is that correct?

MR. LINARES:
Yes.
MR. HALLACK:
Where is Houston Motors located?
MR. LINARES:

1506 Flannery Road in Baton
Rouge, Louisiana 70815.
MR. HALLACK:
And, Mr. Linares, you came in possession of Houston Motors by an act of donation from Juan Morales; is that correct?

MR. LINARES:

> Yes.

MR. HALLACK:
And Mr. Morales had told you that you didn't need to get a license, because you were buying the dealership; is that right?

MR. LINARES:
He didn't told me that.
Although, he did told me that once I got a license, the license was true under his name as a buyer. So he told me, you're good to go, and I was directed by him, but I was directed wrong, you know.

MR. HALLACK:
Yes, sir. I understand. And you were a salesperson at Houston Motors -MR. LINARES:

Yes.

MR. HALLACK:
-- when Mr. Morales owned it; is
that correct?
MR. LINARES:
Yes.
MR. HALLACK:
How long had you been a
salesperson at that the dealership? MR. LINARES:

About six months.
MR. HALLACK:
Okay. Now, at some point, an
investigator advised you that needed to get a license; is that correct?

MR. LINARES:
Yes.
MR. HALLACK:
And have you been trying to get a license?

MR. LINARES:
All the paperwork was submitted to the office already.

MR. HALLACK:
Okay. And you've been in the process of complying with the requirements
of the license; is that right?
MR. LINARES:
Yes.
MR. HALLACK:
But you haven't been given a license yet; is that right?

MR. LINARES:
Supposed to today, you know, I don't know.

MR. HALLACK:
Okay. Well, until you get a license, you can't sell used motor vehicles; is that right?

MR. LINARES:
Yes.
MR. HALLACK:
Okay. And you know not to sell
them until you get --
MR. LINARES:
Not sell anything.
MR. HALLACK:
All right. They can't give you -- the Commission is not -- they're not involved in the administrative process of giving you a license. That has to be done
by the office, but until you get that license from the office, you can't sell used motor vehicles.

MR. LINARES:
I cannot sell anything.
MR. HALLACK:
No. So it's still a process that's still going on and the cease and desist order is still effective. You can't sell used motor vehicles until the office gives you a license, which hopefully will be soon. Okay.

MR. LINARES:
Yes, sir.
MR. HALLACK:
Does anybody have any questions for Mr. Linares?

MS. MORRIS:
Did he go to the seminar?
MR. PARNELL:
He's scheduled for the seminar. This was early -- two weeks ago when we talked. I had him scheduled for the seminar, but he missed the time frame. He got here two hours late. And so I told him
he was going to have to reschedule, because he missed too much of it at that time. We went ahead and scheduled for the August, but I was just telling Kim that we need to try to get him into the July meeting, because typically when we have new dealers, we give them 60 days in order to get their -- to attended the seminar. So we will just move him forward.

MR. POTEET:
Okay. What do we need to do?
MR. HALLACK:
Well, we probably need a motion to make the cease and desist order continuing until such time as his license has been approved.

MR. TAYLOR:
I'll make that motion.
MR. POTEET:
All right. Dino.
MR. SMITH:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
Second from Darty.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
Okay. That motion passes.
MR. HALLACK:
Mr. Linares, that's it. Okay.
You understand what happened, right?
MR. LINARES:
Yes.
MR. HALLACK:
Okay. You're free to go.
MR. LINARES:
Thank you.
MR. GUILLORY:
He's waiting on the City has to do a final inspection and decided his plumbing wasn't to code, even though it had already been signed off on, and so the effective date -- they withheld his occupational license until such time -- but when the landlord got involved Friday, the City has reversed their decision. They're going to send out an inspector again and let
a different inspector go over it.
MR. POTEET:
Okay.
MR. PARNELL:
That's been a dealership right there before.

MR. HALLACK:
Okay. The next hearing we've got, we don't have anybody here present on PJ's Auto Sales. Let's take a 10 minute recess.
(Recess taken.)
MR. POTEET:
Mr. Hallack.
MR. HALLACK:
Yes, sir. The next hearing we have is on PJ's Auto Sales. It's the second set of lettering beginning with $A$, it's the notice of hearing.

Mr. Matthews was cited for failure to maintain the requirements of a business. His sign was down and his phone was off. I talked to Mr. Matthews. Mr. Matthews said he just went out of business, but any time -- I explained to Mr. Matthews,
any time a dealer goes out of business, they're required to submit a notice of closure form, which he didn't do. But he's willing to stipulate today to a fine of $\$ 200$ for the violations.

Does everybody understand what the violations are for? Okay. Sir?

MR. POTEET:
Is he still out of business?
MR. HALLACK:
Yes, he's still out of business.
MR. POTEET:
Not planning on going back into business?

MR. HALLACK:
Well, he said that he might re-apply one day and $I$ told him a determination would have to be made on that at the time he re-applied.

MR. POTEET:
Okay. Do we need to swear him in?
(Swearing in of Perry Matthews)
MR. HALLACK:
Mr. Matthews, could you give us
your full name?
MR. MATTHEWS:
Perry Joseph Matthews.
MR. HALLACK:
And, Mr. Matthews, you owned a dealership by the name of PJ's Auto Sales; is that correct?

MR. MATTHEWS?
Yes, sir.
MR. HALLACK:
And where was that located?
MR. MATTHEWS:
In Broussard, 226 Loul Street, Broussard, Louisiana 70518.

MR. HALLACK:
Okay. And, Mr. Matthews, at some point, you closed PJ's Auto Sales; is that right?

MR. MATTHEWS:
Yes, sir.
MR. HALLACK:
Now, why is it that you closed the dealership?

MR. MATTHEWS:
When I jumped into the
dealership, $I$ was listening to a friend and when $I$ went into it, $I$ wasn't like -- well, I listened to a friend when I jumped into this saying $I$ could make this and make that, and then $I$ ended up going to this little finance company and borrowing money from them and jumping into a business and $I$ ended up buying used, wrecked cars and trying to put them back together and it cost me more than what $I$ borrowed and it ended up sinking down. So $I$ ended up getting out of it.

MR. HALLACK:
Okay. At some point, you turned off your business phone; is that right? MR. MATTHEWS:

Yes, sir. I turned off the business phone and took the sign down and kept on with my daytime job.

MR. HALLACK:
And you understand that if you should ever get back in the business and close it again, that you need to fill out a notice of closure form; is that correct? MR. MATTHEWS:
Yes, sir.

MR. HALLACK:
Now, Mr. Matthews, I understand you've agreed to pay a fine of $\$ 200$ within 30 days; is that right?

MR. MATTHEWS:
Correct.
MR. HALLACK:
And you're willing to do that; is that right?

MR. MATTHEWS:
Yes, sir.
MR. HALLACK:
Does anybody have any questions for Mr. Matthews?

MR. POTEET:
Any questions, anyone?
MR. TAYLOR:
No customers, no hurt, no
carnage?
MR. HALLACK:
No.
MR. POTEET:
I think that's probably the only question we have.

MR. HALLACK:

Would anybody like to make a motion?

MR. SMITH:
I make a motion.
MR. POTEET:
Darty.
MR. CORMIER:
Second?
MR. POTEET:
Second over here, Tony.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
The motion carriers.
MR. HALLACK:
Mr. Matthews, please pay the $\$ 200$
in 30 days. Okay.
MR. MATTHEWS:
Okay. I will. Thank you.
MR. POTEET:
That concludes it.
MR. PARNELL:

Chairman Poteet, $I$ have an item that $I$ want to discuss with you all prior to us closing out the meeting. I want to ask that you amend the agenda, so we can discuss our contract -- annual contract that is expiring and $I$ wanted to give you another update on the employee issue.

MR. POTEET:
We need to make a motion to amend the agenda to discuss contracts.

MR. SMITH:
I'll make a motion.
MR. POTEET:
Darty.
MR. OLAVE:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
Second with Steve.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
All right. Derek.
MR. PARNELL:
Typically, what we normally -- we kind of ran out of time this year, but

[^1]typically we normally try to give you in advance all the contracts that we have in existence currently that are expiring. Our contract expires on July 30 th with our attorneys, the court reporter, accountant, and the IT personnel. With our attorneys, there's an increase in their attorney's fees. It's been $\$ 175$ per hour to $\$ 225$ an hour. That increase came through the Attorney General's Office. And the court reporter, $I$ don't know -- I think -- $\$ 5$ to $\$ 7$ per page. Our accountant has asked for the same increase that the attorneys increased to $\$ 225$. And our IT person is pretty much staying the same. So I just want to ask that we can approve the contract renewals with those fee increases to be added to it. She has copies for everyone. The accountant, Roy Hebert, his is going from $\$ 140$ to $\$ 150$. And the $I T$ person is no increase on that at all. Typically, $I$ do present it to you all and get your approval on the renewal of the contracts. So I will ask that you approve our contract moving forward with our -- with
our staying legal with our Attorney General's Office.

MR. POTEET:
So can we put those all in one motion?

MR. HALLACK:
Yes.
MR. POTEET:
I make a motion that we approve all the contracts at the rates that Derek has submitted to us today. I need a second. MR. OLAVE:

I'll second.
MR. POTEET:
Second from Steve.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
So all of those contracts will be renewed at those rates.

MR. PARNELL:
And the last thing I kind of
wanted to do, it should have been under -in my general comments. We had a compliance investigator, Ms. Angie Kirby, she is no longer with our agency anymore. We're going to start the process of immediately trying to research and try to find a replacement for her. And $I$ just wanted to make that comment.

MR. TAYLOR:
How long has she been here?
MR. PARNELL:
She came on, I would say, about a year and a half ago.

MR. POTEET:
She resigned.
MS. MORRIS:
It was a disciplinary hearing.
MR. POTEET:
Okay. All right.
MR. TAYLOR:
Is Mr. Guillory going to pick up
her slack?
MR. PARNELL:
Yes. Montie is going to kind of chip in as much as possible. Montie --
we'll have to pull him down. With Ronnie, I can't really pull him too much, because with his retirement, he can't use that many hours remaining to the end of this fiscal year. So I've kind of got to piece it together. But we're going to start the process immediately of trying to find someone with experience. Hopefully, we can find someone that's been in the industry before, not necessarily with our Commission, but somebody that has some background in law enforcement as well.

MR. POTEET:
Okay. All right. Anything else? Do we have any items for the next agenda, the next meeting?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
It's a long way off. We'll think of something between now and then. When is the next meeting?

MS. BARON:

> July 20th.

MR. POTEET:
All right. Motion to adjourn.

Betty D. Glissman, CCR

MR. SMITH:
I'll make A motion.
MR. OLAVE:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
Thank you very much.
(Meeting adjourned at 10:43 a.m.)
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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